## NIST 2017 Technical Colloquium on Weight of Evidence

The theme of the 2017 NIST Technical Colloquium on Weight of Evidence is Defining, Collecting and Understanding. The goal of the Colloquium is to get stakeholders across forensic science to think about ways to transform from reporting a "match/no match" to statements that integrate error and uncertainty.

The first day is about defining the weight of evidence - what it means, and how to quantify it. The second day is about collecting and will cover data and its use and analysis within forensic science. The third day is about understanding and will focus on how to communicate and report forensic examination findings.

TECHNICAL COLLOQUIUM WEIGHT OF EVIDENCE
JUNE 27-29, 2017 • NIST GAITHERSBURG

Email us your comments or suggstions at tc.forensicweightofevidence@nist.gov

Join us on Twitter







Quantifying the Weight of Forensic Evidence June 27-29, 2017



Day	l:: Def	ining	the	WoE

	T.	
8:30-8:45	Welcome Remarks, Richard Cavanagh, NIST	
8:45-9:35	Interpretation, A Personal Odyssey, Christophe	
	Champod, University of Lausanne and Ian Evett,	
	Principal Forensic Services	
9:35-10:00	Q&A	
10:00-10:30	Break	
10:30-10:45	Interactive question session 1	
	Shannan Williams, NIST	
10:45-12:00	What is WoE, Panel Discussion and Q&A	
	Doug Reynolds (moderator), MIT Lincoln Lab	
	Christophe Champod, Univ. of Lausanne	
	Charles Berger, NFI	
	Simone Gittelson, NIST	
	Chris Saunders, South Dakota State Univ.	
	Anjali Mazumder, CMU	
12:00-12:30	Statistical challenges in Quantifying the Weight of	
	Evidence: Measurement, Databases, Competing	
	Propositions and Multiple Sources,	
	Anjali Mazumder, CMU	
12:30-13:45	Lunch (on your own)	
13:45-14:00	Interactive question session 2,	
	Will Guthrie, NIST	
14:00-14:40	Weight of Evidence, A Dutch Experience,	
	Charles Berger, Netherlands Forensic Institute	
14:40-15:50	Quantifying WoE, Panel Discussion and Q&A	
	Will Guthrie (moderator), NIST	
	Charles Berger, Netherlands Forensic Institute	
	Cedric Neumann, South Dakota State University	
	Daniel Ramos, Universidad Autonoma de Madrid	
	Steven Lund, NIST	
15:50-16:00	Break	
16:00-17:15	Validation and Inter-laboratory testing, Panel	
	Discussion and Q&A	
	Sheila Willis (moderator), Forensic Science	
	Ireland	
	Ruth Corzo, Florida International University	
	Tricia Hoffman, Florida International Univ.	
	Michael Coble, NIST	
	Geoffrey Stewart Morrison, Aston University	
18:30-20:00	Social Event (sign up your name by 10 am)	

Day 2 :: Collecting for WoE

		Buy 2 Concerning for Web	
	8:30-8:50	Wrap-up of Day 1, Larry Tang, NIST	
	8:50-9:10	The role of data in evaluation of forensic	
		evidence, Melissa Taylor, NIST	
-	9:10-9:50	Fostering innovation and knowledge	
		development with reference collections,	
		Stephen Sherry, National Center for	
		Biotechnology Information	
-	9:50-10:15	Q&A	
-	10:15-10:45	Break	
-	10:45-12:30	Data for Forensics: Reliability, Reproducibility	
		and Repeatability, Panel Discussion and Q&A	
		Alan Zheng (moderator), NIST	
		Christophe Champod, University of Lausanne	
		Charles Berger, NFI	
		Toni Roberts, Federal Bureau of	
		Investigation	
		Jonathon Philips, NIST	
	12:30-14:00	Lunch (on your own)	
	14:00-14:15	Interactive Question Session 3	
		Melissa Taylor, NIST	
	14:15-15:30	Population Statistics, Panel Discussion and	
		Q&A	
		Melissa Taylor (moderator), NIST	
		Simone Gittelson, National Institute of	
		Standards and Technology	
		Geoffrey Stewart Morrison, Aston University	
_		Mike Smith, Federal Bureau of Investigation	
	15:30-15:45	Break	
	15:45-17:00	Method Evaluation, Panel Discussion and	
		Q&A	
		Elham Tabassi (moderator), NIST	
		Doug Reynolds, MIT Lincoln Laboratory	
		Niko Brummer, AGNITIO	
		Daniel Ramos, Universidad Autonoma de	
		Madrid	
		Graham Jackson, University of Abertay	
_		Dundee	
	17:00	DAY 2 END	

Day 3 :: Understanding the WoE

_	· ·	
8:30-8:45	Interactive Question, Sue Ballou, NIST	
8:45-9:15	Communicating the Results of Forensic	
	Science Examinations, Graham Jackson,	
	University of Abertay Dundee	
9:15-9:45	What should a forensic scientist's	
	likelihood ratio be?, Geoffrey Stewart	
	Morrison, Aston University	
9:45-10:00	Q&A	
10:00-10:20	Break	
10:20-10:50	Lay Understanding of Forensic Science,	
10	Bill Thompson, University of California,	
$. \odot 1$	Irvine	
10:50-11:20	Jury Understanding of Statistics, Cedric	
PROCYPICAL	Neumann, South Dakota State	
P194(1)(140)	University	
11:20-12:00	Moderated Discussion with presenters	
	and audience, Sue Ballou, NIST	
12:00-12:30	Closing remarks, Elham Tabassi, NIST	
12:30	END OF COLLOQUIUM	